



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

9/337584

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arthur M. Krieg
(2) George T. De Sanctis
(3) Mark Holligan #36,389
(4) Charles Von
EDWARD R GAMES 31616
Helen Lockhart 39,248
NITA M. MINNIFIELD, PTO MM
Date of Interview 10/27/05
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: generally all cls.

Identification of prior art discussed: All references of record set forth in the 112,1st scope rejection in 6/28/05 office action.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants provided an extensive presentation on concept & novelty of invention. Exr agreed that any formulation of the CG motif would activate immune response parameters. Appl discussed the fact that the synthetic CG mimics what is done in nature. CG always cause a TH1 shift. Appl. to provide additional data regarding scope of entitlement showing human data, as well as additional comments regarding the references cited in office action. Exr indicated that any and all information that is provided would be considered. Non human primate data will also be provided for consideration.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.